

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/677,630	10/03/2000	Leon Forman	320-4(a)	5469	
759	90 07/16/2002				
THOMAS M. GALGANO, Esq Galgano & Burke 300 Rabro Drive			EXAMINER		
			PALABRICA, RICARDO J		
Suite 135 Hauppauge, NY 11788			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11 07			3641	3641	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			09/677,630	FORMAN, LEON				
	-	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
			Rick Palabrica	3641				
	: ad for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —								
	1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	is action is non-final.					
	2a) 🗌	THIS doctor to the second seco	ance except for formal matters.	prosecution as to the merits is				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
ן נ		on of Claims	n.					
	4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	own from consideration.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. PETER M. POCN								
+	· ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.	SUPE	EDVISORY PATENT EXAMINER				
	· —	ECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600						
	7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	fut				
8) Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or closure requirements. Application Papers								
O) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).							
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
		1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	antian No				
		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	14)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)						
		a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
	l ·							
	Attachme	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
	2) \square No	tice of Preferences Orled (170 302) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) primation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(· ——	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	i .			Dark of Banar No. 2				

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to an **apparatus** (neutron generator), classified in class 376, subclass 190.
 - II. Claims 11-16, drawn to process ((treating tumor with an electron beam neutron generator), classified in class 600, subclass 1.

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus can be used for nondestructive analysis of materials or substances containing unknown elements such as nitrogen.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3641

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 2. <u>If invention I is elected</u>, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic for Group I and claim 11 is generic for Group II.
 - A: The embodiment as shown in Fig. 5 (e.g., see page 15, 2nd paragraph of the specification.
 - B: The embodiment as shown in Fig. 6 (e.g., see page 15, 3rd paragraph of the specification.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3641

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick Palabrica whose telephone number is 703-306-5756. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 703-306-4198. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3641

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

RJP July 12, 2002 Cate m. Tr PETER M. POON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600